# M-IRSA: Multi-Packets Transmitted Irregular Repetition Slotted Aloha

SUN Jingyun, LIU Zhen, WU Yang

(Hiwing Satellite Operation Division of China Aerospace Science and Industry Corporation, Bejing 100070, China)

**Abstract**: The design of random media access control (MAC) protocol has been attracting great attention for satellite communication networks, where the propagation delay is long and the traffic load is varying. Advanced coded random access schemes tend to provide resource allocation strategies for massive uncoordinated devices, where multiple packet replicas from each user are transmitted in random slots of the frame and successive interference cancellation (SIC) iterations are tracked to recover collided packets at the receiver. It is assumed that each active user just has a single information packet to be transmitted. In this paper, an MAC layer random access scheme named Multi-Packets Transmitted Irregular Repetition Slotted Aloha (M-IRSA) is proposed. Different from the existing advanced random access schemes, the M-IRSA scheme supports various number of packet transmission per user by using pre-coding procedure. Joint decoding combined with SIC iterations and local decoding is analyzed. The simulation results show that the proposed scheme is more efficient compared with the IRSA scheme without packet loss rate (PLR) loss.

Keywords: Aloha; coded random access; MAC; satellite network; successive interference cancellation

DOI: 10.12142/ZTECOM.202004009

https://kns.cnki.net/kcms/detail/34.1294. TN.20201124.0933.004.html, published online November 24, 2020

Manuscript received: 2020-10-11

Citation (IEEE Format): J. Y. Sun, Z. Liu, and Y. Wu, "M-IRSA: multi-packets transmitted irregular repetition slotted aloha," *ZTE Communications*, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 62 - 68, Dec. 2020. doi: 10.12142/ZTECOM.202004009.

# **1** Introduction

ecent years have witnessed the explosion of wireless machine-type communications (MTC) in satellite networks. Typical MTC networks involve a massive set of battery-powered or harvesting-powered devices autonomously transmitting small data, i. e., short messages. Current multiple access control (MAC) layer solutions for MTC networks tend to privilege scheduled and coordinated transmissions, in compliance with the classical information-theoretic assumptions<sup>[1]</sup>. Traditionally, the coordinated multiple access schemes can take efficient usage of the available bandwidth. However, it requires control signaling that may even outnumber data<sup>[2]</sup>, which is particularly challenging. Opposed to coordinated schemes, random access schemes provide a common channel to be dynamically and opportunistically shared by a population of users without coordination requirements.

As a practical and effective solution to massive uncoordinated multiple access, coded random access has been the subject of several investigations in the past few years, combining user packet replication (or packet coding) with successive interference cancellation (SIC) at the receiver. The Collision Resolution Diversity Slotted Aloha (CRDSA) protocol<sup>[3]</sup> was the first scheme to exploit SIC to resolve collisions on a random access channel, where the users repeat their transmission twice in a frame. The Irregular Repetition Slotted Aloha (IRSA) was in-



troduced in Ref. [4] to provide a further throughput gain over CRDSA, by optimizing the transmission repetition rate for each packet. An extension of IRSA access strategy named Dubbed Coded Slotted Aloha was proposed in Ref. [5], where the packets are encoded prior to transmission in the MAC frame, instead of being simply repeated. Since then, coded random access emerging as a new paradigm has been the subject of several investigations<sup>[6-10]</sup>. With this paradigm, the throughput is substantially increased, which makes it a practical and efficient solution to support for uncoordinated access. In the coded random access schemes, each information packet is repeated mutiple times and transmitted over time slots. However, compared with the Aloha schemes, they require more energy for transmission. Furthermore, in the coded random access schemes mentioned above, each user is able to transmit only one packet per frame even the user has multiple packets to transmit.

As a potential application, users acting as relays in a cellular network have various number of packets to transmit per frame; the sizes of data are different according to the types of platforms. Reservation-CRDSA (R-CRDSA) with access control<sup>[11]</sup> was designed for the case in which the user has multiple fragmented packets to transmit. A specific slot of each frame is reserved for the user until the end of the last packet. Without SIC, collisions cannot be cancelled in unreserved slots, leading to low throughput performance. The Multiple Reservation-CRDSA (MR-CRDSA)<sup>[12]</sup> scheme was developed based on the R-CRDSA scheme, where multiple slots are reserved under low traffic loads. The users with one information packet will have no opportunities to access the channel if all of the slots are reserved, which is not practical in communication systems.

We investigate the application where users who have various number of packets to transmit per frame and propose a scheme named Multi-Packets Transmitted Irregular Repetition Slotted Aloha (M-IRSA), where no load control mechanism or load estimation procedure is needed. Multiple information packets from the same user are pre-coded before transmission. The decoding method is a joint one that combines SIC iterations and local decoding procedure. The proposed scheme promises higher efficiency without packet loss.

# **2 IRSA Scheme and SIC Procedure**

In IRSA schemes, the repetition rate of each user is optimized compared with that in the CRDSA schemes. Each user sends several replicas according to a probability distribution instead of two replicas in CRDSA. The IRSA scheme and SIC procedure is shown in **Fig. 1**.

In Fig. 1a, user  $u_1$  has three replica packets  $(u_{1.1}, u_{1.2} \text{ and } u_{1.3})$ , all of them having the same information data. The SIC iterative procedure in IRSA is similar with the decoding process for LDPC codes over the erasure channel, which is represented by the bipartite graph (Fig. 1b). In each replica, the information about the position of other replicas is included, e.g. in a dedicated header field. If one packet replica is recovered, the positions and the content of the other replicas will be known. This way, the interference of this packet can be canceled. For example,  $u_{1.2}$  and  $u_{1.3}$  can be recovered by the receiver as the interference of  $u_{1.1}$  is removed.



▲ Figure 1. IRSA scheme and SIC procedure.

The traffic load G represents the average number of information packets per slot. The threshold is defined as the maximum value of G that the bursts shall be recovered with a probability close to 1. When G is above the threshold, the SIC procedure will fail and the packet loss rate (PLR) shall increase. The threshold can be calculated by "and-or" tree.

The IRSA scheme promises quite a remarkable throughput. However, two of its characteristics should be considered. First, IRSA has lower critical points compared to the slotted Aloha. When the offered load increases above a critical point, the throughput will decrease rapidly; in other words, the critical point is the offered load level, where the maximum throughput is attained. Second, each user in an IRSA system performs a single transmission within each MAC frame. When the number of users is low while they have a lot of packets to transmit, the channel resources cannot be used efficiently.

# **3 Proposed M-IRSA Scheme**

## 3.1 System Model

The M-IRSA framework is inspired in the situation where a large number of un-cooperated users are seeking access to a receiver. A slotted and framed random access system is considered at the MAC layer, where each frame is divided into M time slots. The users form a population size U and attempt transmission at the beginning of a new frame. It is assumed that the *i*-th user has  $k_i(k_i \ge 0)$  information packets to be transmitted. (The *i*-th user has no packet to transmit if  $k_i = 0$ .) Accordingly, the total number of information packets to be transmitted is  $(N = \sum_{i=1}^{U} k_i)$ . The number of information packets to the transmitted is random and independent with each other, so the total number of information packets N is unknown to the receiver. We define a parameter  $D_i$  to present the normalized information packet data size of the *i*-th user, which is given by  $D_i = k_i/M$ .

The normalized traffic load *G* is given by G = N/M, which represents the average number of information packet transmission per slot.

In this paper, a classical MAC collision channel model is used. The packet loss is only caused by collisions, which means the collided packets can be recovered if the interference from other packets is removed. This assumption is reasonable since the bursts have been encoded via the (4096, 1992) concatenated extended Bose-Chaudhuri-Hocquenghem (BCH) structured irregular repeat accumulate (S-IRA) code and transmitted with quadrature phase shift keying (QPSK) modulation. The results at  $E_b/N_0 = 2$  dB confirm that the performance degradation with the actual IC algorithm is negligible in both the low and the high PLR regions.

# **3.2 Operation of M-IRSA**

For the *i*-th user,  $k_i$  information packets are pre-coded via

linear block coding before transmission. The coded packets are transmitted to the receiver.

A zero  $(M, k_i)$  generating matrix is initialized, where the M rows denote the positions of slots and the  $k_i$  columns represent information packets. The coding degree of information packets follows a given distribution  $\{\Lambda_i\}$ , where  $\Lambda_i$  denotes the probability of an information packet choosing l positions out of Mrows randomly. The *i*-th user sends the output coded packets to the corresponding slots respectively. The indexes of information packets and their corresponding positions are included in the header of output coded packets. In practical implementations, the overhead due to the inclusion of pointers in the header of the coded packet may be reduced by adopting more efficient techniques. A more elegant approach to address this issue is to embed in each coded packet the number of information packets and a user-specific seed of a pseudorandom generator known both to the user and the receiver. Once a coded packet is resolved, the receiver can use the knowledge of the generator and the obtained seed to determine the generation matrix. Since the positions are selected randomly, it happens that some positions are not chosen by the information packets. The actual number of coded packets is denoted by  $h_i$  ( $h_i \leq M$ ). We define the pre-coding rate of the *i*-th user as  $R_i = k_i / h_i.$ 

It is assumed that each user has one buffer. Before transmitted, the information packets are preserved in the buffers. Therefore, it is possible that the users are aware of the number of information packets. The information packets are pre-coded via linear block coding before transmission. The generating matrix is random. But the maximum length of coded packets is fixed, which is equal to the frame length.

(1) Example 1.

As shown in **Fig. 2**, there are 2 active users, where the user  $u_1$  contains 3 information packets (circles), by an index  $\{k_{1,1}, k_{1,2}, k_{1,3}\}$ , and the user  $u_2$  contains 2 information packets (circles), by an index  $\{k_{2,1}, k_{2,2}\}$ . The first information packet of  $k_{1,1}$  selects position  $S_1$  and  $S_3$ ; the information packet  $k_{1,2}$  selects position  $S_2$ ,  $S_3$  and  $S_5$ ; the information packet  $k_{1,3}$  selects position  $S_1$  and  $S_4$ . Thus, the generating matrix of  $u_1$  is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (1)

In the same way, the generation matrix of  $u_2$  is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (2)

The user  $u_1$  generates 5 coded packets, by an information index {  $h_{1,1},...,h_{1,5}$ }, and transmits them to  $S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4$  and  $S_5$ . The user  $u_2$  generates 3 coded packets, by an information index {  $h_{2,1},...,h_{2,3}$ }, and transmits them to  $S_1, S_2$  and  $S_6$ . The pre-



▲ Figure 2. An Multi-Packets Transmitted Irregular Repetition Slotted Aloha (M-IRSA) example with frame size m = 6 slots and 2 active users. The first user  $u_1$  contains 3 information packets and generates 5 coded packets. The user  $u_2$  contains 2 information packets and generates 3 coded packets. Slots  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  suffer from collisions.

coding rates of the two users are  $R_1 = 3/5$  and  $R_2 = 2/3$ .

At the receiver, singleton slots are the ones with only one coded packet transmitted to each slot. A collision takes place in a slot when two or more coded packets are simultaneously transmitted to the slot. Such a slot is called collision slot. In the meanwhile, once a collision is detected, no more information about the number and content of colliding packets can be achieved by the receiver. The decoding procedure at the receiver combines SIC iterations and local decoding procedure. It is conducted iteratively as follows until all of the information packets are recovered or collisions persist with no further information packets being recovered. As seen in Fig. 2,  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are collision slots, while  $S_3$ ,  $S_4$ ,  $S_5$  and  $S_6$  are singleton slots.

• Round 1 (SIC procedure): Coded packets  $h_{1,3}$ ,  $h_{1,4}$ ,  $h_{1,5}$  and  $h_{2,3}$  are known by the receiver, as  $S_3$ ,  $S_4$ ,  $S_5$  and  $S_6$  are singleton slots. It also means that  $k_{1,1} \bigoplus k_{1,2}$ ,  $k_{1,3}$ ,  $k_{1,2}$  and  $k_{2,1} \bigoplus k_{2,2}$  are known by the receiver. Once a coded packet is received by the receiver, the generating packets will be cracked.

• Round 1 (local decoding procedure): The information packets  $k_{1,1}$ ,  $k_{1,2}$ , and  $k_{1,3}$  will be recovered based on the knowledge of  $k_{1,1} \bigoplus k_{1,2}$ ,  $k_{1,3}$  and  $k_{1,2}$ . Then the coded packets  $h_{1,1}(k_{1,1} \bigoplus k_{1,3})$  and  $h_{1,2}(k_{1,2})$  will be known by the receiver.

• Round 2 (SIC procedure): The contributions of interference due to  $h_{1,1}$  and  $h_{1,2}$  are canceled respectively, making  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  new singleton slots. Coded packets  $h_{2,1}$  and  $h_{2,2}$  will be known by the receiver.

• Round 2 (local decoding procedure): The generating packets of  $u_2$  are cracked during round 1 (SIC procedure). Then the information packets  $k_{2,1}$  and  $k_{2,2}$  will be recovered based on the knowledge of  $h_{2,1}$  and  $k_{2,2}$ .

(2) Example 2.

As seen in Fig. 2,  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are collision slots, while  $S_3$ ,  $S_4$ ,  $S_5$  and  $S_6$  are singleton slots. Coded packets  $h_{1,3}$ ,  $h_{1,4}$ ,  $h_{1,5}$  and  $h_{2,3}$  are recovered as  $S_3$ ,  $S_4$ ,  $S_5$  and  $S_6$  are singleton slots (Step 1). Then the correctly recovered coded packets help to recover the information packets, making information packets  $h_{1,1}$  and  $h_{1,2}$  and  $k_{1,3}$  recovered. Hence, the coded packets  $h_{1,1}$  and  $h_{1,2}$  are known by the receiver (Step 2). Next, the contributions of interference due to  $h_{1,1}$  and  $h_{1,2}$  are canceled respectively, making  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  new singleton slots (Step 3). A second decoding iteration is then triggered.

# **4** Performance Analysis

## 4.1 Pre-Coding Rate

In the pre-coding procedure, the information packets' degree distribution is given by  $\Lambda(\mathbf{x}) \triangleq \sum_{l} \Lambda_{l} \mathbf{x}^{l}$ , while  $\Lambda'(1) \triangleq \sum_{l} l \Lambda_{l}$  is denoted as the average packet repetition rate. On the other side, the position's degree distribution tends to be the Binomial distribution, which is expressed as  $\Psi(\mathbf{x}) \triangleq \sum_{l} \Psi_{l} \mathbf{x}^{l}$ . The average number of information packets per position is  $\Psi'(1) \triangleq \sum_{l} l \Psi_{l}$ . It is easy to verify that the probability that a generic user sends an information packet within a given position is  $\Lambda'(1)/M = \Psi'(1)/k$ .

The probability that one coded packet has l information packets is given by

$$\Psi_{i,l} = \binom{k_i}{l} \left( \frac{\Psi'_i(1)}{k_i} \right)^l \left( 1 - \frac{\Psi'_i(1)}{k_i} \right)^{k_i - l}.$$
(3)

 $M_{i,I}$  is defined as the expected number of positions with no information packets, which is given by

$$M_{i,I} = M \left( 1 - \frac{\Lambda'(1)}{M} \right)^{k_i}.$$
(4)

It is assumed that information packets  $k_i$  are generated by coded packets  $h_i$  and sent to time slots  $h_i$  by the user  $u_i$ . Obviously, we have  $h_i \ge k_i$ . The expected length of coded packets is  $\mathbb{E}[h_i] = \max(k_i, M - M_{i,l})$ . Hence, the expected rate of  $R_i$  is

$$\mathbb{E}\left[R_{i}\right] = \min\left(\frac{k_{i}}{M\left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{\Lambda'(1)}{M}\right)^{k_{i}}\right)}, 1\right).$$
(5)

In the IRSA scheme, each user has only one information packet to transmit, which means  $k_i = 1$ . According to Eq. (5), we have the expected coding rate of the IRSA scheme as:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[R_{\text{IRSA}}\right] = \min\left(\frac{1}{\Lambda'(1)}, 1\right). \tag{6}$$

It should be noted that the proposed M-IRSA scheme becomes a typical IRSA scheme when each user only has one information packet to transmit. The pre-coding rate turns to be  $1/\Lambda'(1)$ .

Moreover, the approach is actually peer-to-peer transmission when there is only one active user. The maximum precoding rate 1 can be achieved as there is no interference from other users.

#### 4.2 Packet Loss Rate and Throughput

We analyze the evolution of the interference subtraction in the proposed scheme in the asymptotic case where N tends to infinity. In the "AND-OR" tree, each OR node evaluates logical OR operation on the value of its children and each AND node evaluates logical AND operation on the value of its children. One edge of the OR node is revealed once one of the other edges connected to the node has been revealed; on the contrary, one edge of the AND node is revealed whenever all the other edges connected to the node have been revealed. In the proposed multiple access scheme, information packets act like the OR nodes; time slots act like the AND nodes; coded packets act like the OR nodes in SIC iterations and act like the AND nodes in local decoding procedure.

We define that q is the probability that an edge of information packet is unknown, t is the probability that an edge of coded packets connected to one information packet is unknown,  $\epsilon$ is the probability that an edge of coded packets connected to one time slot is unknown, and p is the probability that an edge of one time slot is unknown.

Now the edges between information packets and coded packets are considered, with  $\lambda_l$  denoting the probability that an edge is connected to an information packet of degree l and  $\rho_l$  denoting the probability that an edge is connected to a coded packet of degree l. They can be expressed by  $\lambda_l = \Lambda_l l \sum_l \Lambda_l l$  and  $\rho_l = \Psi_l l \sum_l \Psi_l l$ .

It is supposed that there are two active users  $(u_1 \text{ and } u_2)$  in the system, where  $u_1$  has  $k_1$  information packets generated to  $h_1$  coded packets and  $u_2$  has  $k_2$  information packets generated to  $h_2$  coded packets. After transmission, some coded packets are collided. It is assumed that  $(1 - \epsilon_1)h_1$  out of  $h_1$  coded packets and  $(1 - \epsilon_2)h_2$  out of  $h_2$  coded packets are received by the receiver without collisions. It is easy to verify that the number of collision slots is  $\epsilon_1 h_1 = \epsilon_2 h_2$ . All of the information packets have the same edge degree distribution  $\lambda_1$ , while  $\rho_{1,1}$ and  $\rho_{2,1}$  are the coded packets' edge degree distribution of  $u_1$ and  $u_2$  respectively.

## 4.2.1 Local Decoding Procedure

One edge connected to one information packet is unknown

when all of the other edges connected to the same information packet are unknown. One edge connected to one un-collided (un-erased) coded packet can be known when all of the other edges connected to the same coded packet are known. The edges connected to collided (erased) coded packets do not transfer information during the local decoding procedure. Therefore, during the *j*-th iteration, for the user  $u_1$ , we have

$$q_{1,j} = \sum_{l} \lambda_{l} t_{1,j-1}^{l-1}, \tag{7}$$

and

$$t_{1,j} = \epsilon_{1,j-1} + \left(1 - \epsilon_{1,j-1}\right) \sum_{l} \rho_{1,l} \left(1 - \left(1 - q_{1,j}\right)^{l-1}\right) = 1 - \left(1 - \epsilon_{1,j-1}\right) \sum_{l} \rho_{1,l} \left(1 - \left(1 - q_{1,j}\right)^{l-1}\right).$$
(8)

The recovery of information packets may help to recover the collided (erased) coded packets. One collided (erased) coded packet turns to be known when all of the connected edges from information packets to the coded packets are known.  $\epsilon_1$  is the probability that an edge of coded packet connected to one slot is unknown, which can be expressed as

$$\epsilon_{1,j} = 1 - \sum_{l} \rho_{1,l} \left( 1 - q_{1,j} \right)^{l}.$$
(9)

In the same way, for the user  $u_2$ , we have

$$\epsilon_{2,j} = 1 - \sum_{l} \rho_{2,l} \left( 1 - q_{2,j} \right)^{l}.$$
 (10)

#### 4.2.2 SIC Procedure

The recovery of collided (erased) coded packets then helps the implementation of the SIC procedure. New clean slots appear since the interference from the recovered coded packet is canceled. Hence, the collision (erasure) probability is updated. One edge connected to a collided time slot is known only when the other edge connected to the same slot is known. Therefore, we have  $1 - \epsilon_{1,j} = 1 - \epsilon_{2,j-1}$  and  $1 - \epsilon_{2,j} = 1 - \epsilon_{1,j-1}$ .

The local decoding procedure and the SIC procedure implement iteratively. According to the equations above, we get the expressions of q and t as follows.

$$q_{j} = \sum_{l} \lambda_{l} t_{j-1}^{l-1}, \tag{11}$$

$$t_{j} = 1 - \sum_{l} \rho_{1,l} \sum_{s} \rho_{2,s} \left( 1 - q_{j} \right)^{l+s-1}.$$
 (12)

By letting  $N \to \infty$   $(k_1 \to \infty; k_2 \to \infty)$ , the coded packets' degree distribution follows a Poisson distribution. For the user  $u_1$ , we have

$$\Psi_1(x) = \exp\left(-\frac{k_1 \Lambda'}{M} (1-x)\right). \tag{13}$$

The polynomial representation of edge degree distribution of coded packets is

$$\rho_1(x) = \exp\left(-\frac{k_1\Lambda'}{M}\left(1-x\right)\right). \tag{14}$$

Similarly, for  $u_1$  and  $u_2$ , we have

$$\rho_1(x)\rho_2(x) = \exp\left(-\frac{\left(k_1 + k_2\right)\Lambda'(1)}{M}\left(1 - x\right)\right) = \exp\left(-\frac{N\Lambda'(1)}{M}\left(1 - x\right)\right).$$
(15)

The evolution iteration after the iteration of the probability q is given by

$$q_{j} = \sum_{l} \lambda_{l} \Big( 1 - \exp \Big( - q_{j-1} G \Lambda'(1) \Big) \Big)^{l-1}.$$
 (16)

The theoretical analysis of the M-IRSA scheme can be extended to multiple users (more than two users), which is verified in the next section by numerical results. According to Ref. [4], the probability q in the proposed M-IRSA scheme has the same expression with that in the IRSA scheme.

The normalized throughput *T* is defined as the probability of successful information packet transmission per slot, which is given by  $T = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{U} k_i \cdot (1 - PLR)\right]/M$ . In this way, PLR can reflect the performance of throughput.

# **5** Simulation Results

In this section, numerical results are illustrated. We consider an MAC frame size M = 200 slots and a user population size  $n_{pop} = 200$ . There are two kinds of users in the simulation: each of 100 out of  $n_{pop}$  users has 2 information packets to transmit once each of the other 100 users has 5 information packets to transmit once activated. Each user becomes active at the beginning of any frame with probability p, independent of the other users and regardless of the user's activation history. The repetition rate of each user is following the distribution  $\Lambda(x) = 0.5x^2 + 0.28x^3 + 0.22x^8$ .

**Fig. 3** shows the expected pre-coding rate versus the normalized packet data size. The x-axis represents the average normalized information packet data size of the users. The y-axis represents the average pre-coding rate. In a typical IRSA scheme, each information packet is transmitted repeatedly with a given distribution, so the pre-coding rate is constant versus the normalized packet data size and only rates 0 < R < 1/2 can be obtained. As shown in the figure, the expected

coding rate of the IRSA scheme is  $\mathbb{E}[R_{\text{IRSA}}] = 1/0.5 \times 2 + 0.28 \times 3 + 0.22 \times 8$ , which means the users are expected to transmit 3.6 coded packets for one information packet. On the other hand, the expected coding rate of the M-IRSA scheme is varied according to  $D_i$  (the normalized information packet data size of the user). It needs less coded packets for one information packet, which means the proposed M-IRSA scheme is more effective than the IRSA scheme especially with large normalized packet data size in the pre-coding procedure.

**Figs. 4** and **5** show the PLR and throughput performance comparison of the proposed scheme and typical IRSA scheme when the traffic load G is fixed. It can be seen that the proposed scheme is more effective without PLR.



▲ Figure 3. Expected pre-coding rate versus the normalized packet data size.



▲ Figure 4. PLR performance comparison between M-IRSA and IRSA schemes.



 $\blacktriangle$  Figure 5. Throughput performance comparison between M-IRSA and IRSA schemes.

# **6** Conclusions

A pre-coding based random access scheme named M-IRSA is proposed, where no channel load estimation or additional central controller is needed to allocate the channel resource. Joint procedure of SIC iterations and local decoding is analyzed. Compared with the existing advanced random access scheme IRSA, the proposed scheme is more effective without PLR loss.

## References

- [1] LAYA A, KALALAS C, VAZQUEZ-GALLEG F, et al. Goodbye, ALOHA!
   [J]. IEEE access, 2016, 4(7): 2029 2044
- [2] LE-NGOC T, JAHANGIR I M. Performance analysis of CFDAMA-PB protocol for packet satellite communications [J]. IEEE transactions on communications, 1998, 46(9): 1206 - 1214. DOI: 10.1109/26.718562
- [3] CASINI E, DE GAUDENZI R, HERRERO O R. Contention resolution diversity slotted ALOHA (CRDSA): an enhanced random access schemefor satellite access packet networks [J]. IEEE transactions on wireless communications, 2007, 6(4): 1408 - 1419. DOI: 10.1109/twc.2007.348337
- [4] LIVA G. Graph-based analysis and optimization of contention resolution diversity slotted ALOHA [J]. IEEE transactions on communications, 2011, 59(2): 477 – 487. DOI: 10.1109/tcomm.2010.120710.100054

- [5] PAOLINI E, LIVA G, CHIANI M. Coded slotted ALOHA: a graph-based method for uncoordinated multiple access [J]. IEEE transactions on information theory, 2015, 61(12): 6815 – 6832. DOI: 10.1109/tit.2015.2492579
- [6] ALVI S, DURRANI S, ZHOU X Y. Enhancing CRDSA with transmit power diversity for machine - type communication [J]. IEEE transactions on vehicular technology, 2018, 67(8): 7790 - 7794. DOI: 10.1109/tvt.2018.2831926
- [7] GRAELL I, AMAT A G I, LIVA G. Finite-length analysis of irregular repetition slotted ALOHA in the waterfall region [J]. IEEE communications letters, 2018, 22(5): 886 - 889. DOI: 10.1109/lcomm.2018.2812845
- [8] SANDGREN E, AMAT A G I, BRANNSTROM F. On frame asynchronous coded slotted ALOHA: asymptotic, finite length, and delay analysis [J]. IEEE transactions on communications, 2017, 65(2): 691 – 704. DOI: 10.1109/ tcomm.2016.2633468
- [9] DE GAUDENZI R, HERRERO O D R, GALLINARO G, et al. Random access schemes for satellite networks, from VSAT to M2M: a survey [J]. International journal of satellite communications and networking, 2018, 36(1): 66 - 107. DOI: 10.1002/sat.1204
- [10] TAGHAVI A, VEM A, CHAMBERLAND J F. On the design of universal schemes for massive uncoordinated multiple access [C]//IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory. Barcelona, Spain: IEEE, 2016: 345 - 349. DOI: 10.1109/ISIT.2016.7541318
- [11] LEE M, LEE J K, LEE J J, et al. R-CRDSA: reservation-contention resolution diversity slotted ALOHA for satellite networks [J]. IEEE communications letters, 2012, 16(10): 1576 – 1579. DOI: 10.1109/lcomm.2012.082012.120573
- [12] LEE Y, LEE J, LIM J, et al. MR-CRDSA: multiple reservation contention resolution diversity slotted ALOHA for battle-field communication [C]//IEEE Military Communications Conference. Tampa, USA: IEEE, 2015. DOI: 10.1109/ MILCOM.2015.7357423

#### **Biographies**

**SUN Jingyun** (sunjingyun@satcloud.com.cn) received the B.E. and Ph.D. degrees in electronic engineering from Beihang University, China in 2013 and 2020, respectively. She now works with the Hiwing Satellite Operation Division of China Aerospace Science and Industry Corporation (CASIC), China. Her research interests are wireless communications and coded random access schemes.

LIU Zhen received the M.S. degree in communication and information system from Harbin Engineering University (HEU), China in 2014. He is currently a senior system engineer with the Hiwing Satellite Operation Division of CASIC, China. His research interests include satellite telemetry, tracking, and control (IT&C) and wireless communications.

**WU Yang** received the M.S. degree in information technology from University of New South Wales, Australia in 2018. He is currently a system engineer with the Hiwing Satellite Operation Division of CASIC, China. His research interests include AI and wireless communications.